arXiv:1108.5011v2 [math.PR] 29 Oct 2011

Central limit theorems for non-central sections of log-concave product measures and star shaped functions

Daniel Fresen

Abstract. A section of a function f defined on Euclidean space is the restriction of f to an affine subspace. We study functions with various regularity properties including independence, convexity and smoothness, and show that sections of these functions far from the origin approximate the normal density function. As a consequence, if X and Y are i.i.d. random variables with mild assumptions on their distribution, then the distribution of $X+2Y$ conditional on the event that $X+Y$ is large, is approximately normal.

1. Introduction

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $X = (X_i)_1^n$ be an i.i.d. sequence of random variables each with cumulative distribution function F such that $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}X_i^2 = 1$. The distribution of X is thus an isotropic product measure μ. The condition that μ be isotropic is a mild one and entails that X has mean zero and identity covariance matrix. On the other hand, independence is a very strong condition. Define

$$Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$

Classical central limit theory dictates that for large values of n the distribution of Z approximates the standard normal distribution. Under the identification $t \leftrightarrow t\theta$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta = (1/\sqrt{n}, 1/\sqrt{n} \ldots 1/\sqrt{n})$, the random variable Z is the orthogonal projection of X onto the one dimensional subspace spanned by θ. Many other projections of μ have this property, including projections onto subspaces of higher dimension. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, the Grassmanian manifold $G_{n,k}$ is the collection of all linear subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension k. It is a compact homogeneous space under the action of the group O_n of orthogonal matrices $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and is thus endowed with a unique rotationally invariant probability measure $\lambda_{n,k}$ called Haar measure. For each $E \in G_{n,k}$ let P_E denote the orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{R}^n$ onto E. A result of Romik [**15**] is that there exist $c_1, c_2, c_3 > 0$ (that depend on F but not on n) such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $k < c_1 \varepsilon^4 n$ there exists a set $\mathcal{E} \subset G_{n,k}$ with

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60F05, 60D05; Secondary 26B25.
Key words and phrases. Central limit theorem, log-concave function, section, star body.
Many thanks to Mark Rudelson for several comments.

$\lambda_{n,k}(\mathcal{E}) > 1 - c_2\varepsilon^{-1}\exp(-c_3\varepsilon^4 n)$ such that for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$,

$$\sup_{\mathcal{H}} |\mathbb{P}\{P_E X \in \mathcal{H}\} - \Phi_E(\mathcal{H})| < \varepsilon \tag{1.1}$$

where the supremum is taken over all half spaces $\mathcal{H} \subset E$ and Φ_E is the standard normal measure on E. The metric defined by (1.1) is called the Tsirelson distance. A similar result holds for the Kolmogorov metric.

The condition of independence is not fundamental to the central limit theorem and can be replaced by a number of other regularity properties such as convexity [**1**] [**4**] [**8**] [**9**] and symmetry [**12**] [**13**]. These regularity properties all come down to a more fundamental property called the thin shell property [**2**] [**3**] [**5**] [**6**] [**10**] [**17**] [**18**]. The random vector X has the thin shell property if the random variable $||X||_2/\mathbb{E}||X||_2$ is concentrated around the value 1. In other words, most of the mass of μ is contained in a spherical shell of thickness much smaller than its radius. By the weak law of large numbers, product measures have the thin shell property. The fact that isotropic convex bodies have the thin shell property was a profound contribution of [**8**]. Provided that X is isotropic and has the thin shell property, most one dimensional projections of X are approximately Gaussian. The converse is also true. An excellent exposition of these ideas as well as a detailed list of references in contained in [**8**] and [**11**].

We define a section of a function f as the restriction of f to an affine subspace. This is a natural functional generalization of a section of a convex body. If $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a random vector with a continuous density function f that decays rapidly to zero in all directions, then a suitable multiple of the section $f|_E$ can be thought of as the density function of X conditional on the event $\{\varphi(X) = T\}$, where φ is a linear functional, $E = \{x : \varphi(x) = T\}$ and the density is taken with respect to $n-1$ dimensional Lebesgue measure on E. Of course the usual definition of conditional distribution breaks down because the event $\{\varphi(X) = T\}$ has probability zero and the section becomes our *definition* of such a conditional distribution.

Sections and projections are natural counterparts in convex geometry (and functional analysis) and one is lead naturally to ask whether the central limit theory as described above has an analogue for sections. In this note we prove several such theorems, albeit in a different spirit to the central limit theory of projections. It is easily seen that central sections do not obey the central limit theorem and we shall consider sections far from the origin. A fundamental difference between the theory of sections and projections is that for sections we do not require high dimensionality.

If $f|_E$ is approximately normal then $\widetilde{\varphi}(X)$ will have an approximately normal distribution for any other functional $\widetilde{\varphi}$ such that $null(\widetilde{\varphi}) \neq null(\varphi)$. Thus our results can also be interpreted in the setting of classical probability theory without reference to sections or projections. In the two dimensional case for example, we may consider i.i.d. random variables X_1 and X_2 with appropriate conditions on their common distribution. If we are given the value of $X_1 + X_2$ and this value is large, then any other linear combination such as $X_1 + 2X_2$ will be approximately normal.

2. Main results

For a function $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such $\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} t^2 g_i''(t) = \infty$ we consider the following modulus

$$\xi_g(r,t) = \sup\left\{ \left| \frac{g'''(w+s)}{g''(w)^{3/2}} \right| : |w| \geq t, |s| \leq r g''(w)^{-1/2} \right\} \tag{2.1}$$

We will be interested in functions g such that for all $r > 0$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \xi_g(r,t) = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

This is a relatively natural condition satisfied by many functions and we discuss it further in section 3. As an example, consider the functions $g(t) = |t|^p$ $(p > 1)$ for which we have

$$\xi_g(r,t) \leq 2t^{-p/2}$$

valid for all $r \leq c_p t^{p/2}$. As another example, consider the function $g(t) = e^t + e^{-t}$ for which we have

$$\xi_g(r,t) \leq \exp(2t - e^{3t/2})$$

valid for all $r \leq te^{t/2}$. Let ϕ_n denote the standard normal density function on $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\phi_n(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-||x||_2^2/2}$$

Note that the density of *any* absolutely continuous product measure can be written as

$$f(x) = \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x_i)\right)$$

Theorem 1. *Let $n \geq 2$ and for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ let $g_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function with corresponding modulus ξ_i as defined by (2.1). Let $\xi(r,t) = \max_i \xi_i(r,t)$. Assume that there exist $\sigma > 1$, $\omega > 0$ such that for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|t| > t_0$,*

$$g_j'(\sigma^{-1}t) < g_i'(\pm t) < g_j'(\sigma t) \tag{2.3}$$

$$g_i''(t) > \omega t^{-1} g_i'(t) \tag{2.4}$$

Consider the function $f(x) = \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x_i)\right)$. There exist $c, \widetilde{c} > 0$ with the following property: for all $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ with $q = \min_{1\leq i\leq n} |\theta_i| \neq 0$, all $r > 0$, and all $T > 0$ with $nr^3\xi(r, \widetilde{c}q^cT) < 6$, there exists $\alpha > 0$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a linear injection Q $: \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \to \{\theta\}^\perp$ such that $\langle \theta, y\rangle = T$ and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with $||x||_2 \leq r$,

$$\left| \frac{\alpha f(Qx+y)}{\phi_{n-1}(x)} - 1 \right| < nr^3 \xi(r, \widetilde{c}q^cT)$$

In the special case of theorem 1 where $g_i = g$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\theta = (1/\sqrt{n}, 1/\sqrt{n}, \ldots 1/\sqrt{n})$, we can take

$$\alpha = (2\pi)^{-(n-1)/2} \exp(ng(T/\sqrt{n}))$$

and we can express $Q = \beta\widetilde{Q}$ where $\widetilde{Q} : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \theta^\perp$ is a linear isometry independent of T and

$$\beta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g''(T/\sqrt{n})}}$$

Of particular interest are the functions $f(x) = e^{-||x||_p^p}$ $(1 < p < \infty)$. They satisfy the conditions of both theorem 1 above and theorem 2 below and are richly endowed with approximately normal sections. These functions were studied in the papers [**16**] and [**7**] and have an interesting geometry.

A *star body* is a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ that contains the origin in its interior, has the property that if $x \in K$ and $\lambda \in [0,1]$ then $\lambda x \in K$, and such that the Minkowski functional

$$||x||_K = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : x \in \lambda K\}$$

is continuous. The boundary $\partial K = \{\theta : ||\theta||_K = 1\}$ is a topological manifold and we will be interested in points $\theta \in \partial K$ for which the Gaussian curvature $\kappa(\theta)$ is well defined and non-zero. We shall call a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0,\infty)$ *star shaped* if there exists a star body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$||x||_K = ||y||_K \Rightarrow f(x) = f(y)$$

Clearly, any such function can be expressed as

$$f(x) = e^{-\rho(||x||_K)}$$

for some function ρ. The following theorem essentially comes down to the fact that a convex body locally resembles an ellipsoid at points of non-vanishing Gaussian curvature, although we include a short proof for completeness.

Theorem 2. *Let $n \geq 2$ and let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a star body. Let $\rho : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a twice differentiable function such that*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} t\rho'(t) = \infty$$

and for all $r > 0$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \sup_{|s|<r/\rho'(t)} \left| \frac{\rho''(t+s)}{\rho'(t)^2} \right| = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0,\infty)$ be defined as $f(x) = e^{-\rho(||x||_K)}$. Let $\theta \in \partial K$ be such that the Gaussian curvature $\kappa(\theta) \neq 0$ and suppose that there is a linear functional φ such that for all $x \in K$ with $x \neq \theta$, $\varphi(x) < \varphi(\theta)$. Then there exists a linear mapping $T : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to null(\varphi)$ such that for any compact set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \sup_{x\in\Omega} |\alpha(t) f(\beta(t)Tx + t\theta) - \phi_{n-1}(x)| = 0$$

where

$$\alpha(t) = (2\pi)^{-(n-1)/2} e^{\rho(t)}$$

$$\beta(t) = \sqrt{\frac{t}{2\rho'(t)}}$$

If K is convex then the Hahn-Banach theorem guarantees the existence of the functional φ mentioned in theorem 2. The proof of theorem 2 bears a close resemblance to that of the classical central limit theorem for the sum of independent random variables. The Minkowski functional plays a role analogous to that of the characteristic function. Homogeneity of the Minkowski functional plays the role of independence while the condition of non-vanishing Gaussian curvature plays the role of finite variance as it guarantees a 2^{nd} order Taylor expansion of the Minkowski functional. We also consider the infinite dimensional case.

Corollary 1. *For any $1 < p < \infty$, define $f : \ell_p \to \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) = e^{-||x||_p^p}$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, all compact sets $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ and an affine injection $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \ell_p$ such that*

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\alpha f(Tx) - \phi_n(x)| < \varepsilon$$

3. Further discussion

Consider a polynomial $g(x) = a + bx + cx^2 + dx^3$ and the corresponding normalization $g(c^{-1/2}x) = a + bc^{-1/2}x + x^2 + dc^{-3/2}x^3$. If $dc^{-3/2}$ is small, then g resembles a quadratic function around zero in the sense that the quadratic term becomes large while the cubic term is still negligible. If in addition $g'(0) = 0$, then for $\widetilde{c} = e^{-a}/\sqrt{2\pi}$ the function $f(x) = \widetilde{c}e^{-g(x/\sqrt{c})}$ approximates the standard normal density function on an interval $[-R, R]$ for some large value of R. This simple observation is the motivation for studying the modulus defined by (2.1).

To see that condition (2.2) is relatively mild, consider the following lemma which we prove later on.

Lemma 1. *If $\omega : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is any differentiable function with $\lim_{t\to\infty} t^2\omega(t) = \infty$, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \left| \frac{\omega'(t)}{\omega(t)^{1+\varepsilon}} \right| = 0$$

The function ω plays the role of g'' and we take $\varepsilon = 1/2$. There are two reasons why not every function satisfies (2.2). The first is that there could be some oscillation in the tails of $|g'''(t)|g''(t)^{-3/2}$ whereby the lim inf is zero but the lim sup is strictly positive. The second is due to the perturbation s, however the condition $\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} t^2 g''(t) = \infty$ implies that $|s|$ is only a small proportion of $|w|$. The appearance of w is simply to insure that $\xi(r, t)$ is non-increasing in t; one could just as well erase it and use t instead.

Conditions (2.3) and (2.4) are not fundamental to theorem 1 and are only imposed to obtain a quantitative bound. Their role is to provide a linear lower bound on the growth of the coordinates of y as $T \to \infty$. Without them the coordinates of y would still converge to ∞, just not at a linear rate.

An Orlicz function is a convex function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$. The convex body

$$K_\varphi = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(|x_i|) \leq 1\}$$

is called an *Orlicz ball.* If $\varphi''(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$ then ∂K_φ has non-vanishing Gaussian curvature at a point $\theta \in \partial K_\varphi$ provided that $\theta_i \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Examples of such Orlicz functions include $\varphi(t) = t^p$ $(1 < p < \infty)$, $\varphi(t) = e^t - 1$ and $\varphi(t) = \max\{1 - \cos(t); t + 1 - \pi/2\}$.

If $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega = (\omega_i)_1^n$ is a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers, then the convex body

$$B^n_{\omega,p} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i |x_{(i)}|^p \leq 1\}$$

is the unit ball of a finite dimensional *Lorentz sequence space*, where $(x_{(i)})_1^n$ are the absolute order statistics corresponding to the vector x (the non-decreasing rearrangement of the absolute values of the coordinates of x). In this case, $\partial B^n_{\omega,p}$ has non-vanishing Gaussian curvature at a point $\theta \in \partial B^n_{\omega,p}$ provided that $\theta_i \neq \theta_j$ whenever $i \neq j$.

4. Proofs

The proof below is split into two parts for ease of reading. The fundamental ingredients are contained mainly in the second part.

Proof of theorem 1. **Part 1:** Without loss of generality $\theta_i > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Consider the function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x_i)$. By convolution with a smooth test function we may assume that $\nabla g(x)$ exists for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and that g is strictly convex. By (2.4) there exist $c_1, t_1 > 0$ such that $g_i'(t) > c_1 t^\omega$ for all $t > t_1$ and all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Define $T_1 = \sup\{g_i'(t) : 1 \leq i \leq n, t \leq t_0\}$ and consider any $T > \max\{\sqrt{n}t_1, c_1^{-1/\omega}\sqrt{n}q^{-1/\omega}T_1^{1/\omega}\}$. Restricted to the affine subspace $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, \theta\rangle = T\}$, the function g attains a minimum at some point $y \in E$. By the theory of Lagrange multipliers, this point satisfies $\nabla g(y) = \lambda\theta$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$, i.e. $g_i'(y_i) = \lambda\theta_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $T = \sum \theta_i y_i \leq ||\theta||_1 \max\{y_i\}_1^n$ and $1 \leq ||\theta||_1 \leq \sqrt{n}$, it follows that for some $1 \leq k \leq n$, $y_k = \max\{y_i\}_1^n \geq T/\sqrt{n} > t_1$. Hence $g_k'(y_k) > c_1 y_k^\omega \geq c_1 n^{-\omega/2}T^\omega$ and for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $g_i'(y_i) = \lambda\theta_i \geq q\lambda\theta_k = qg_k'(y_k) \geq c_1 q n^{-\omega/2}T^\omega > T_1$ (by definition of T). By definition of T_1, $y_i > t_0$ (for all i). By (2.4), for all $k > 1$ and $s > t_0$, $g_i'(ks) > k^\omega g_i'(s)$. Hence, if $s_1 > s_2 > t_0$ then

$$\frac{s_1}{s_2} < \left(\frac{g_i'(s_1)}{g_i'(s_2)}\right)^{1/\omega} \tag{4.1}$$

We consider two cases. In case 1, $y_i \geq \sigma^{-1}y_k$. In case 2, $y_i < \sigma^{-1}y_k$ and we can apply inequality (4.1) which gives

$$\frac{\sigma^{-1}y_k}{y_i} < \left(\frac{g_i'(\sigma^{-1}y_k)}{g_i'(y_i)}\right)^{1/\omega} < \left(\frac{g_k'(y_k)}{g_i'(y_i)}\right)^{1/\omega} = \left(\frac{\theta_k}{\theta_i}\right)^{1/\omega} \leq q^{-1/\omega}$$

and $y_i > \sigma^{-1}q^{1/\omega}y_k \geq \sigma^{-1}q^{1/\omega}n^{-1/2}T$. In either case,

$$y_i > \widetilde{c}q^c T$$

Part 2: Since the norm $||\cdot||_\sharp$ defined by

$$||z||_\sharp = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 g_i''(y_i)\right)^{1/2}$$

is Hilbertian, there exists a linear embedding $Q : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \{\theta\}^\perp$ such that $||Qz'||_\sharp = ||z'||_2$ for all $z' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Fix any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and express $x = ru$ with $r \geq 0$ and $u \in S^{n-2}$. Let $\eta = Qu$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(s) &= -\log(\alpha(t)f(s\eta + y)) \\ &= (n-1)\log\sqrt{2\pi} - \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(y_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(s\eta_i + y_i) \end{aligned}$$

By definition of ξ, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all s' with $|s'| < r/\sqrt{g_i''(y_i)}$,

$$\left| \frac{g_i'''(y_i + s')}{g_i''(y_i)^{3/2}} \right| < \xi(r, y_i) \leq \xi(r, cq^c T)$$

By the chain rule, $\psi(0) = (n-1) \log \sqrt{2\pi}$, $\psi'(0) = \langle \eta, \nabla g(y) \rangle = 0$, $\psi''(0) = ||\eta||_{\sharp}^2 = 1$ and

$$\psi'''(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_i^3 \frac{g_i'''(y_i + s\eta_i)}{g''(y_i)^{3/2}}$$

where $\nu_i = \eta_i \sqrt{g''(y_i)}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $||\nu||_2 = 1$. Since $||\nu||_\infty \leq 1$, for all $s \in [0, r]$ and all $1 \leq i \leq n$ the quantity $s' = s\eta_i$ obeys $|s'| \leq r/\sqrt{g_i''(y_i)}$. Hence

$$|\psi'''(s)| \leq n\xi(r, cq^c T)$$

and by Taylor's theorem,

$$\sup_{s \in [0,r]} |\psi(s) - \frac{1}{2}s^2 - (n-1) \log \sqrt{2\pi}| \leq 6^{-1} n r^3 \xi(r, cq^c T)$$

which gives

$$\left| \frac{\alpha(t) f(T_t x + t\theta)}{\phi_{n-1}(x)} - 1 \right| < \exp\left(6^{-1} n r^3 \xi(r, cq^c T)\right) - 1$$

the result follows from the inequality $1 + \delta \leq e^\delta \leq 1 + 3\delta$ valid for all $\delta \in [0, 1]$. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{H}_\xi(x)$ denote the Hessian matrix associated to a function ξ at a point x. We leave the proof of the following lemma to the reader.

Lemma 2. *Let $\xi = q \circ \Lambda$ where $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a linear mapping and $q : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is any function. If $\mathcal{H}_q(\Lambda x)$ exists for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, then $\mathcal{H}_\xi(x) = \Lambda^T \mathcal{H}_q(\Lambda x) \Lambda$.*

Proof of theorem 2. Let $Q : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to null(\varphi)$ be any injective linear map and define

$$q(x) = ||Q(x) + \theta||_K$$

By definition, $q(0) = 1$. For any non-zero $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $Q(x) \neq 0$, hence $Q(x) + \theta \neq \theta$. Since $\varphi(Q(x) + \theta) = \varphi(\theta)$ and φ attains a strict global maximum on K at θ, $Q(x) + \theta \notin K$, and $q(x) > 1$. This shows that q has a strict global minimum at 0. Thus $\nabla q(0) = 0$. Since the Gaussian curvature $\kappa(\theta) \neq 0$, $\mathcal{H}_q(0)$ is non-singular. Let $\Lambda = (2\mathcal{H}_q(0)^{-1/2})$, $T = Q\Lambda$ and $\xi(x) = q(\Lambda x) = ||Tx + \theta||_K$. By lemma 2, $\mathcal{H}_\xi(0) = \Lambda^T \mathcal{H}_q(0) \Lambda = \frac{1}{2} I_{n-1}$, where I_{n-1} denotes the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ identity matrix. Let $R(x) = \xi(x) - 1 - ||x||_2^2$ and for all $t > 0$ define

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_t(x) &= ||Tx + t\theta||_K \\ &= t\xi(t^{-1}x) \\ &= t + t^{-1}||x||_2^2 + tR(t^{-1}x) \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and consider any compact set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Let $r = 1 + \sup\{||x||_2 : x \in \Omega\}$. Define $\varepsilon_1 = \min\{2^{-1}r^{-2}\varepsilon, 2^{-1}\}$ and $\varepsilon_2 = \min\{4^{-1}r^{-4}\varepsilon, 2^{-1}\}$. By Taylor's theorem, $\lim_{x \to 0} R(x)||x||_2^{-2} = 0$. Hence, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $||x||_2 < \delta \Rightarrow |R(x)| < \varepsilon_1 ||x||_2^2$. By the hypotheses of the theorem, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that for all $t > t_0$ the following two inequalities hold,

$$t\rho'(t) > 2^{-1} r^2 \delta^{-2} \tag{4.3}$$

$$\sup_{|s|<r^2/\rho'(t)} \left| \frac{\rho''(t+s)}{\rho'(t)^2} \right| < \varepsilon_2 \tag{4.4}$$

Fix any $t > t_0$ and any $x \in \Omega$. By (4.3), $||t^{-1}\beta(t)x||_2 = (2t\rho'(t))^{-1/2}||x||_2 < \delta$ which (by definition of δ) implies that $|R(t^{-1}\beta(t)x)| < \varepsilon_1 ||t^{-1}\beta(t)x||_2^2$ and therefore that $|tR(t^{-1}\beta(t)x)| < \varepsilon_1(2\rho'(t))^{-1}||x||_2^2$. Hence $0 \le t^{-1}||\beta(t)x||_2^2 + tR(t^{-1}\beta(t)x) \le (\rho'(t))^{-1}||x||_2^2$. Let $\Delta = t^{-1}||\beta(t)x||_2^2 + tR(t^{-1}\beta(t)x) < r^2/\rho'(t)$ and $r' = \rho(t+\Delta) - \rho(t) - \rho'(t)\Delta$. By Taylor's theorem and (4.4), $|r'| \le \Delta^2 \sup_{0\le s\le\Delta} |\rho''(t+s)| \le \varepsilon_2\Delta^2\rho'(s)^2 \le \varepsilon_2 r^4$. Recalling the definitions of f and Δ, and using (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned} & -\log\alpha(t)f(\beta(t)Tx+t\theta) - \frac{1}{2}||x||_2^2 - (n-1)\log\sqrt{2\pi} \\ = \; & \rho(t+\Delta) - \rho(t) - \frac{1}{2}||x||_2^2 \\ = \; & \rho'(t)\Delta + r' - \frac{1}{2}||x||_2^2 \\ = \; & \rho'(t)tR(t^{-1}\beta(t)x) + r' \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|\log\alpha(t)f(\beta(t)Tx+t\theta) + \frac{1}{2}||x||_2^2 + (n-1)\log\sqrt{2\pi}| \le \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1 r^2 + \varepsilon_2 r^4 < \varepsilon/2$$

and $-\log\alpha(t)f(\beta(t)Tx+t\theta) > -\varepsilon > -\ln 2$. The Lipschitz constant of the function $\lambda \mapsto e^{-\lambda}$ on $(-\ln 2, \infty)$ is 2, hence

$$|\alpha(t)f(\beta(t)Tx+t\theta) - \phi_{n-1}(x)| \le \varepsilon$$

from which the result follows. □

Proof of lemma 1. Suppose that the result does not hold. Then there exists $t_0, c > 0$ such that for all $t > t_0$, $\omega(t) > t^{-2}$ and $|\omega'(t)| > c\omega(t)^{1+\varepsilon}$. In particular, $\omega'(t) \neq 0$ and ω is either strictly increasing on (t_0,∞), or strictly decreasing on (t_0,∞). Hence ω' does not change sign on (t_0,∞). If $\omega' < 0$ then ω decays exponentially (or quicker) violating the inequality $\omega(t) > t^{-2}$. Thus $\omega' > 0$. Since ω is injective on (t_0,∞) it satisfies an autonomous differential equation $\omega'(t) = \Theta(\omega(t))$, where $\Theta(s) = \omega'(\omega^{-1}(s))$ and $\Theta(s) > cs^{1+\varepsilon}$ for all $s > s_0$. Note that,

$$\int_{s_0}^{\omega(t)} \frac{1}{\Theta(s)} ds = t - \omega^{-1}(s_0) \tag{4.5}$$

(just differentiate both sides to see why). This is a contradiction because the left hand side of (4.5) is bounded (as a function of t) while the right hand side is not. □

References

[1] Anttila, M., Ball, K., Perissinaki, I.: The central limit problem for convex bodies. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (12), 4723-4735 (2003)

[2] Bobkov, S.: On concentration of distributions of random weighted sums. Ann. Probab. **31** (1), 195-215 (2003)

[3] Diaconis, P., Freedman, D.: Asymptotics of graphical projection pursuit. Ann. Stat. **12** (3), 793-815 (1984)

[4] Eldan, R., Klartag, B.: Pointwise estimates for marginals of convex bodies. J. Funct. Anal. **254** (8), 2275-2293 (2008)

[5] Fleury, B.: Concentration in a thin Euclidean shell for log-concave measures. J. Func. Anal. **259** (4), 832-841 (2010)

[6] Fleury, B., Guédon, O., Paouris, G.: A stability result for mean width of L_p-centroid bodies. Adv. Math. **214** (2), 865-877 (2007)

[7] Fresen, D.: A multivariate Gnedenko law of large numbers. arXiv:1101.4887v1

[8] Klartag, B.: A central limit theorem for convex sets. Invent. Math. **168**, 91-131 (2007)

[9] Klartag, B.: Power-law estimates for the central limit theorem for convex sets. J. Funct. Anal. **245** (1), 284-310 (2007)

[10] Klartag, B.: A Berry-Esseen type inequality for convex bodies with an unconditional basis. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields. **145** (1-2), 1-33 (2009)

[11] Klartag, B.: High-dimensional distributions with convexity properties. A text based on a talk given by the author at the fifth European Congress of Mathematics. Available online at http://www.math.tau.ac.il/∼klartagb/index.html

[12] Meckes, M.: Gaussian marginals of convex bodies with symmetries. Beiträge Algebra Geom. **50** (1), 101-118 (2009)

[13] Meckes, E., Meckes, M.: The central limit problem for random vectors with symmetries. J. Theor. Probab. **20**, 697-720 (2007)

[14] Milman, V., Schechtman, G.: Asymptotic Theory of Finite-Dimensional Normed Spaces. Lect. Notes Math., vol.1200, Springer, Berlin (1986)

[15] Romik, D.: Randomized Central Limit Theorems - Probabilistic and Geometric Aspects. PhD dissertation Tel Aviv University (2001)

[16] Schechtman, G., Zinn, J.: On the volume of the intersection of two L_p^n balls. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1), 217-224 (1990)

[17] Sudakov, V. N.: Typical distribution of linear functionals in finite-dimensional spaces of high-dimension. Dokl., Akad. Nauk Azerb. **243** (6), 1402-1405 (1978) (Russian) English translation in Sov. Math. Dokl. **19**, 1578-1582 (1978)

[18] von Weizsäcker, H.: Sudakov's typical marginals, random linear functionals and a conditional central limit theorem. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **107** (3), 313-324 (1997)

Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri
E-mail address: `djfb6b@mail.missouri.edu`